

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/754,762	01/04/2001	Jimmy Randolph Lewis	29488/36815	3783
4743	7590 08/15/2002			
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN			EXAMINER	
6300 SEARS 233 SOUTH	WACKER		SHAPIRO, J	EFFERY A
CHICAGO, I	L 60606-6357		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
:		LEWIS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	09/754,762	Art Unit	-		
onice Action Cummary	Examiner	3653	1		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jeffrey A. Shapiro pears on the cover sheet				
Period for Reply		•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 5	lanuary 2001 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal r	natters, prosecution as to the merit	s is		
Disposition of Claims	Ex parto quayio, 1000				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-36 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers	r				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		v the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No			
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a of the certified copies r)). ot received.			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			ation).		
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-6, drawn to a method of sorting stock items, classified in class
 209, subclass 546.
 - II. Claims 7-28, drawn to a computer controlled sorting apparatus, classified in class 700, subclass 223.
 - III. Claims 29-32, drawn to a product handling apparatus having a powered conveyor, classified in class 198, subclass 346.1.
 - IV. Claims 33-36, drawn to a product handling apparatus having a powered conveyor with chutes, classified in class 198, subclass 523.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention I does not require the computer subject matter as described in Invention II, for patentability. The subcombination has separate utility such as a computer-controlled sorting apparatus.

Art Unit: 3653

3. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the sorting method of Invention I is not required to be performed by the apparatus of Invention III. The subcombination has separate utility such as a transport conveyor between inspection or work stations.

- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the sorting method of Invention I is not required to be performed by the apparatus of Invention IV. The subcombination has separate utility such as a transport conveyor having a gravity conveyor section.
- 5. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention II, directed towards a

Art Unit: 3653

computer controlled sorting apparatus, has computer control and no conveyor. The subcombination has separate utility such as a conveyor to transport items between work stations.

- 6. Inventions II and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention II, directed towards a computer controlled sorting apparatus, has computer control and no conveyor with gravity conveyor sections. The subcombination has separate utility such as a conveyor with gravity conveyor sections.
- 7. Inventions III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention III, directed towards a conveyor to transport items between workstations does not require the gravity conveyor sections for patentability. The subcombination has separate utility such as a conveyor with gravity conveyor sections.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/754,762

Art Unit: 3653

8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Shapiro whose telephone number is (703)308-3423. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald P. Walsh can be reached on (703)306-4173. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-2571 for regular communications and (703)308-2571 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3653

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-

1113.

Jeffrey A. Shapiro Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3653 DONALD PAVALEH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

August 14, 2002